Teaching Portfolio Portfolio Evaluation

Note: The following is a sample rubric that the portfolio reviewers will use to evaluate each teaching portfolio. Please remember that the fellow must receive an overall rating of 3 or higher to meet program requirements.

Evaluator's Name:	TEACH Fellow's Nan	Fellow's Name:		
Evaluator 5 Name	Evaluator's Name:	or's Name:		

Overall Rating of the Portfolio:

- 5 Excellent
- 4 Strong
- 3 Good starting point
- 2 Needs more work and time
- 1 Unacceptable

Detailed Ratings:		Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Professional Appearance ■ Are the font sizes, colorings, spacing, etc. consistent and do they aid the reader in processing the information? ■ Is the portfolio free of grammatical and spelling errors? ■ Is the portfolio polished and ready for a job interview?	1	2	3	4	5
 Organization Is the portfolio easily navigated? Do the sections have a logical flow? Does the reviewer have to search for content? 	1	2	3	4	5
 Teaching Philosophy Statement Is the teaching statement well articulated? Is there evidence of the philosophy found throughout the portfolio? Did the philosophy give a "picture" of what the instructor is like in the classroom? 	1	2	3	4	5
 Evidence of Evaluation Does the instructor use classroom assessment techniques? Did the instructor seek feedback from others (students, peers, faculty members) about his/her teaching? 	1	2	3	4	5
Reflection Were reflective statements used throughout the document to make it self-explanatory? Are reflective statements used to give the reviewer insight into "why they do what they do"? Is there evidence of willingness to change based on feedback from others?	1	2	3	4	5
 Appendices Is all of the material in the appendix referred to in the body of the portfolio? Is there material included in the appendices that seems irrelevant or like overkill? Are summary tables used when necessary (e.g. evaluations) to condense the size of the appendices? 	1	2	3	4	5